





To: Cancyte Technologies Pvt Ltd-Bangalore

1st Cross Road,

Shankarapuram Basavanagudi.

Karnataka

Bangalore - 560004

Contact:

Report Of: Mrs. ASHA BHAT

Pt. Contact: 8105683963

Sample ID 2410026470

Patient ID 1102425791

Hosptial ID CANOBG1955965

Received on 17/10/2024 16:52

Registered on 17/10/2024 17:22

Reported on

Referred by Dr. WMN DOCTOR

Patient DOB: 04/12/1985

Sonography by Dr. ASHWINI J.A

Understand Your Report In Detail

EVICOSCREEN - EVIDENCE BASED COMPREHENSIVE PRENATAL SCREENING REPORT

Patient Name: Mrs. ASHA BHAT

EVIC Screen" is an evidence based prenatal screening program curated by Lilac Insights in accordance with the Fetal Medicine Foundation (UK)

guidelines for First Trimester Screening to determine the probality of most common chromosomal aneuploidies in a pregnancy. It utilizes:

- Hormonal values from the pregnancy measured on Fetal Medicine foundation (UK) accredited analyzers and reagents
- Robust indigenous medians from over 7 lac+ pregnancies for different gestation ages
- Risk calculations from evidence based algorithms validated through large international studies

UKNEQAS: United Kingdom National External Quality Assessment Service

RIQAS: Randox International Quality Assessment Scheme



The Risk Assessment Performed Using
CE-Marked Antenatal Risk Evaluation Software
Certified by the British Standards Institute
(BSI)- ISO 13485:2016

R	ISK ASSESSMENT			
T21 (Down syndrome)	1:604	Intermediate Risk	LOW	INTERMEDIATE HIGH
T18 (Edwards' syndrome)	1:86522	Low Risk	LOW	HIGH
T13 (Patau syndrome)	1: 100000	Low Risk	LOW	HIGH
Pre-eclampsia before 34 wee	eks 1:83	High Risk	LOW	HIGH

MULTIPLE OF MEDIAN (MoM)

Free ß-hCG	1.64	
AFP	0.52	
PAPP-A	0.91	
PLGF	0.73	

INTERPRETATION

The First Trimester Enhanced Screening for the given sample is found **INTERMEDIATE RISK for Downs Syndrome and Screen Positive PE.**

SUGGESTIONS AND OTHER FINDINGS

- In view of intermediate risk (Risk between 1:251 to 1:1000), further counselling is recommended.
- Latest guidelines suggest further evaluation of intermediate risk patients by the following options as indicated:
- a. Detailed anomaly scan and Genetic Sonogram to assess for markers and defects for chromosomal abnormalities.
- b. Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing/Screening (NIPT) (Detection rate: >99%), ref: ISPD guidelines 2015.
- c. Definitive testing through Fetal Karyotyping.





Verified by
Mr. Pradip Kadam
Incharge Biochemistry
(FMF ID: 147760)



MD (Path), Consultant Pathologist

Page 1 of 3









Patient name: Mrs. ASHA BHAT Sample ID: 2410026470

Sample Type:Serum Risk assessment: Algorithm validated by SURUSS 2003, N.J Wald

Method:Time-resolved Fluroimmunoassay

		PREGNANC	CY DETAILS			
No. of fetuses GA is Based on	:1 :CRL 60.5mm at 17/10/2024	EDD LMP Date	: 27/04/2025 : 23/07/2024	Age at Term LMP Certainty	: 39.4 Years : Regular	
Smoking: None	Parity: 1 Prev. Preg	Height	: 154.9 cm	Weight	: 58.00 Kg	
Ethinicity: Asian	FHR :					
Previous	pregnancy history	Pre-e	clampsia history	Oth	ner findings	
Down syndrome Edwards' syndrome		PE in previous pregnancy		Insulin de	Insulin dependent diabetes	
Patau syndrome NTD syndrome		Pat. mother had PE		Chronic h	Chronic hypertension	
EDD: Estimated Due Da	DD: Estimated Due Date GA: Gestation Age LMP: Last Menstrual Period FHR: Fetal Heart Rate NTD: Neural Tube Defect PE: Pre-eclampsia DOB: Date of Birth					

SPECIMEN DETAILS

Sample ID	: 2410026470	CRL	: 60.5 mm	Test Name	Conc.	Unit	Corr. Mom
Collection Date	: 17/10/2024	CRL2	:	Free-ß-hCG	59.90	ng/ml	1.64
Scan Date	: 17/10/2024	BPD	:	NB	Present		
GA at Coll Date	:12W4D	BPD2	:	AFP	07.84	U/mL	0.52
GA at Scan Date	:12W4D		·	NT	1.1	mm	0.79
Received on	: 17/10/2024			PAPP-A	3270.00	mU/L	0.91
		PLGF	53.51	pg/mL	0.73		
		MAP	90.00	mmHg	1.07		
				UTPI	2.50		1.52

GA: Gestation Age | CRL: Crown Rump Length | BPD: Bi-parietal Diameter | HC: Head Circumference | free-ß-hCG: free-ßeta Human Chorionic Gonadotropin NT: Nuchal Translucency | PAPP-A: Pregnancy-associated Plasma Protein-A

			RISKS			
Disorder: Do	Disorder: Down Syndrome			Result:	Intermediate Risk	
Final risk:	1:604	Age risk:	1:151			
Cutoff	1:250	Risk type	Risk At Term			
Disorder: Ed	lwards' Syndrome			Result:	Low Risk	
Final risk:	1:86522	Age risk:	1:1357			
Cutoff	1:100	Risk type	Risk At Term			
Disorder: Patau Syndrome			Result:	Low Risk		
Final risk:	1:100000	Age risk:	1:4073			
Cutoff	1:100	Risk type	Risk At Term			
Disorder: PE	< 34 weeks			Result:	High Risk	
Final risk:	1:83					
Cutoff	1: 100	Risk type	Risk at Term			















Patient name: Mrs. ASHA BHAT Sample ID: 2410026470

PRENATAL SCREENING BACKGROUND

Every pregnant woman carries a certain degree of risk that her fetus/baby may have certain chromosomal defect/ abnormalities. Diagnosis of these fetal chromosomal abnormalities requires confirmatory testing through analysis of amniocytes or Chorionic Villous Samples (CVS). However, amniocentesis and CVS procedures carry some degree of risk for miscarriage or other pregnancy complications (Tabor and Alfirevic, 2010). Therefore in routine practice, prenatal screening tests are offered to a pregnant woman to provide her a personalised risk for the most common chromosomal abnormalities (T21-Down syndrome, T18- Edwards' syndrome, T13- Patau syndrome) using her peripheral blood sample. Based on this risk assessment, if the risk is high or intermediate, you can take informed decision of opting for invasive procedure such as amniocentesis or CVS followed by confirmatory diagnostic test(s), as per discussion with your clinician.

PRENATAL SCREENING TESTS ARE NOT CONFIRMATORY TESTS. THEY ARE LIKELIHOOD ASSESSMENT TESTS.

You may get your prenatal screening result as either of the following:-

High Risk

High Risk or Screen Positive Result: A High Risk Result does not mean that the pregnancy is affected with the condition. It means that the likelihood of the pregnancy having a condition is higher than the cut-off (Most commonly used cut-off is 1:250 and this represents the risk of pregnancy loss from confirmatory testing through CVS or amniocentesis).

Low Risk

Low Risk or Screen Negative Result: A Low Risk result does not mean that the pregnancy is not affected with a condition. It means that the likelihood of the pregnancy having a condition is lower than the cut-off.

Intermediate Risk Intermediate Risk result: An intermediate Risk result means that the pregnancy has an equivocal or a borderline risk of being affected with a condition. In this case, you may want to choose a second stage screening modality like a Non-invasive Prenatal Screening Test between 12 to 20 weeks of pregnancy before taking a decision on an invasive confirmatory testing. This will help you improve the sensitivity of the screening test keeping an invasive test a last option were you to come as a high risk in the second stage screening test.

SIGNIFICANCE OF MULTIPLE OF MEDIANS (MoMs)

Prenatal Screening determines the likelihood of the pregnancy being affected with certain conditions by analysing levels of certain hormones. These hormones are Feto placental products (released by Fetus or placenta). Their levels not only indicate propensity of the fetus being affected with certain chromosomal conditions, they also provide indication of placental insufficiency that can potentially lead to pregnancy complications like Pre-Eclampsia or Intra-Uterine Growth Restriction. It is therefore important to take cognisance of the Reported MoMs alongside the Risk results

For more information, visit our website at: www.lilacinsights.com/faq-pns

DISCLAIMERS

Limitations of the Test:

As prenatal screening tests are not confirmatory diagnostic tests, the possibility of false positive or false negative results can not be denied. The results issued for this test does not eliminate the possibility that this pregnancy may be associated with other chromosomal or sub- chromosomal abnormalities, birth defects and other complications.

Nuchal Translucency is the most prominent marker in screening for Trisomy 13, 18, 21 in the first trimester and should be measured in accordance with the Fetal Medicine Foundation (UK) guidelines. Nuchal Translucency or Crown Rump Length measurement, if not performed as per FMF (UK) imaging guidelines may lead to erroneous risk assessments and Lilac Insights bears no responsibility for errors arising due to sonography measurements not performed as per these criteria defined by international bodies such as FMF (UK), ISUOG.

It is assumed that the details provided along with the sample are correct. The manner in which this information is used to guide patient care is the responsibility of the healthcare provider, including advising for the need for genetic counselling or additional diagnostic testing like amniocentesis or Chorionic Villus Sampling. Any diagnostic test should be interpreted in the context of all available clinical findings. As with any medical test, there is always a chance of failure or error in sample analysis though extensive measures are taken to avoid these errors.

Note:

- Quality of the Down syndrome screening program (Biochemical values, MoMs and Risk assessments) is monitored by UKNEQAS on an ongoing basis.
- This interpretation assumes that patient and specimen details are accurate and correct.
- Lilac Insights does not bear responsibility for ultrasound measurements like CRL,NT,NB etc. We strongly recommend that ultrasound measurements are performed as per FMF (UK)/ISUOG practice guidelines.
- PE risk stratification is done using a cut-off of 1:100 as per ASPRE study.
- It must be clearly understood that the results represent risk and not diagnostic outcomes. Increased risk does not mean that the baby is affected and further tests must be performed before a firm diagnosis can be made. A Low Risk result does not exclude the possibility of Down's syndrome or other abnormalities, as the risk assessment does not detect all affected pregnancies.
- Each sample received at Lilac Insights' processing centre is handled with the utmost sensitivity and care. All samples received on Sundays and National holidays are stored as per specific guidelines for the respective specimens and processed on the next day.

END OF REPORT

Page 3 of 3



