



To: Siddhi Hospital-Pune

Balaji Nagar

satara Road DhankawadI

Maharashtra Pune - 411043

Contact: 7276340669

Report Of: Mrs. PRACHI SUMIT CHAVAN

Pt. Contact: 986082410



Sample ID 2201004980

Patient ID 1002255714

Received on 02/08/2022 12:39

Registered on 03/08/2022 18:21

Reported on 04/08/2022 11:48

Referred by DR.PRAKASH KOTHAVALE

Sonography by DR.SATYAJEET A JAGDALE

EVICOSCREEN - EVIDENCE BASED COMPREHENSIVE PRENATAL SCREENING REPORT

| Patient Name: Mrs. PRACHI SUMIT CHA | WAN | Patient DOB: 26/05/1995 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Ethnicity: <u>Asian</u> | City: PUNE | Hospital ID: | _ | | |

Sample Type:Serum


Risk assessment: Algorithm validated by SURUSS 2003, N.J Wald

Method:Time-resolved Fluroimmunoassay

EVIC Screen is an evidence based prenatal screening program curated by Lilac Insights in accordance with the Fetal Medicine Foundation (UK) guidelines for First Trimester Screening to determine the probability of most common chromosomal aneuploidies in a pregnancy. It utilizes:

- Hormonal values from the pregnancy measured on Fetal Medicine foundation (UK) accredited analyzers and reagents
- Robust indigenous medians from over 5 lac+ pregnancies for different gestation ages
- Risk calculations from evidence based algorithms validated through large international studies
- External audit of the prenatal screening program by United Kingdom National External Quality Assessment Service (UKNEQAS) scheme and Randox International Quality Assessment Scheme (RIQAS)

| RI | SK ASSESSMEN | NT | | | ML |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------|-----|-------------------|------|
| T21 (Down syndrome) | 1:23601 | Low Risk | LOW | INTERMEDIATE HIGH | ME |
| T18 (Edwards' syndrome) | 1: 100000 | Low Risk | LOW | HIGH | Free |
| T13 (Patau syndrome) | 1: 100000 | Low Risk | LOW | HIGH | PAP |
| Pre-eclampsia before 34 wee | ks 1:1755 | Low Risk | LOW | HIGH | |



INTERPRETATION


The First Trimester Screening for the given sample is found **SCREEN NEGATIVE**.







Verified by
Mr. Pradip Kadam
Incharge Biochemistry



Verified by **Dr. Suresh Bhanushali**MD (Path), Consultant Pathologist





Patient name: Mrs. PRACHI SUMIT CHAVAN Sample ID: 2201004980

| | | | PREGNANCY | DETAILS | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|--|--|
| No. of fetuse | es :1 | | EDD | :04/02/2023 | Age at Term | n :27.7 | Years | | |
| GA is Based | on : CRL 71mm at 01/0 | 08/2022 | LMP Date | : 30/04/2022 | LMP Certainty : Regular | | | | |
| Smoking: No | one Parity : Null | iparous | Height | : 160.0 cm | Weight | : 46.90 | O Kg | | |
| FHR : | | | | | | | | | |
| Р | revious pregnancy histo | ry | Pre-ecl | ampsia history | | Other fin | dings | | |
| Down syndrome Edwards' syndrome | | | PE in previous pregnancy | | Insulin dependent diabetes | | | | |
| Patau syndrome NTD syndrome | | | Pat. mother had PE | | Chronic hypertension | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| EDD: Estimated Due Date GA: Gestation Age LMP: Last Menstrual Period FHR: Fetal Heart Rate NTD: Neural Tube Defect PE: Pre-eclampsia DOB: Date of Birth | | | | | | | | | |
| SPECIMEN DETAILS | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID | :2201004980 | CRL :7 | 71 mm | Test Name | Conc. | Unit | Corr. Mom | | |
| Collection D | eate : 01/08/2022 | CRL2 : | | Free-ß-hCG | 38.41 | ng/mL | 1.06 | | |
| Scan Date | :01/08/2022 | BPD : | | NB | Present | | | | |
| GA at Coll D | ate: 13 Weeks 2 Days | BPD2 : | | NT | 1.3 | mm | 0.76 | | |
| GA at Scan E | Date: 13 Weeks 2 Days | HC : | | PAPP-A | 3926.00 | mU/L | 0.58 | | |
| Received on | :02/08/2022 | HC2 : | | MAP | 78.33 | mmHg | 0.97 | | |
| | | | | UTPI | 1.58 | | 0.96 | | |
| GA: Gestation | n Age CRL: Crown Rump Length NT: N | | | lead Circumference free-ſs nancy-associated Plasma P | | Human Chor | ionic Gonadotropin | | |
| | | | RISK | S | | | | | |
| Disorder: Do | own Syndrome | | | Resu | ılt: | Low Risk | . | | |
| Final risk: | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Cutoff | 1:250 | Risk type | Risk At Term | | | | | | |
| Disorder: Edwards' Syndrome Result: Low Risk | | | | | | | | | |
| Final risk: | 1:100000 | Age risk: | 1:10730 | | | | | | |
| Cutoff | 1:100 | Risk type | Risk At Term | | | | | | |
| Disorder: Patau Syndrome Result: Low Risk | | | | | | (| | | |
| Final risk: | 1:100000 | Age risk: | 1:32224 | | | | | | |
| Cutoff | 1:100 | Risk type | Risk At Term | | | | | | |
| Disorder: PE < 34 weeks Result: Low Risk | | | | | | | | | |
| Final risk: | 1: 1755 | | | | | | _ | | |
| Cutoff | 1: 100 | Risk type | Risk at Term | | | | | | |











Patient name: Mrs. PRACHI SUMIT CHAVAN Sample ID: 2201004980

PRENATAL SCREENING BACKGROUND

Every pregnant woman carries a certain degree of risk that her fetus/baby may have certain chromosomal defect/ abnormalities. Diagnosis of these fetal chromosomal abnormalities requires confirmatory testing through analysis of amniocytes or Chorionic Villous Samples (CVS). However, amniocentesis and CVS procedures carry some degree of risk for miscarriage or other pregnancy complications (Tabor and Alfirevic, 2010). Therefore in routine practice, prenatal screening tests are offered to a pregnant woman to provide her a personalised risk for the most common chromosomal abnormalities (T21-Down syndrome, T18- Edwards' syndrome, T13- Patau syndrome) using her peripheral blood sample. Based on this risk assessment, if the risk is high or intermediate, you can take informed decision of opting for invasive procedure such as amniocentesis or CVS followed by confirmatory diagnostic test(s), as per discussion with your clinician.

PRENATAL SCREENING TESTS ARE NOT CONFIRMATORY TESTS. THEY ARE LIKELIHOOD ASSESSMENT TESTS.

You may get your prenatal screening result as either of the following:-

High Risk

High Risk or Screen Positive Result: A High Risk Result does not mean that the pregnancy is affected with the condition. It means that the likelihood of the pregnancy having a condition is higher than the cut-off (Most commonly used cut-off is 1:250 and this represents the risk of pregnancy loss from confirmatory testing through CVS or amniocentesis).

Low Risk

Intermediate

Low Risk or Screen Negative Result: A Low Risk result does not mean that the pregnancy is not affected with a condition. It means that the likelihood of the pregnancy having a condition is lower than the cut-off.

Intermediate Risk result: An intermediate Risk result means that the pregnancy has an equivocal or a borderline risk of being affected with a condition. In this case, you may want to choose a second stage screening modality like an Integrated Screening Test that is done between 16 to 20 weeks of pregnancy or a Non-invasive Prenatal Screening Test between 12 to 20 weeks of pregnancy before taking a decision on an invasive confirmatory testing. This will help you improve the sensitivity of the screening test keeping an invasive test a last option were you to come as a high risk in the second stage screening test.

SIGNIFICANCE OF MULTIPLE OF MEDIANS (MoMs)

Prenatal Screening determines the likelihood of the pregnancy being affected with certain conditions by analysing levels of certain hormones. These hormones are Feto placental products (released by Fetus or placenta). Their levels not only indicate propensity of the fetus being affected with certain chromosomal conditions, they also provide indication of placental insufficiency that can potentially lead to pregnancy complications like Pre-Eclampsia or Intra-Uterine Growth Restriction. It is therefore important to take cognisance of the Reported MoMs alongside the Risk results.

For more information, visit our website at: www.lilacinsights.com/faq-pns

DISCLAIMERS

Limitations of the Test:

As prenatal screening tests are not confirmatory diagnostic tests, the possibility of false positive or false negative results can not be denied. The results issued for this test does not eliminate the possibility that this pregnancy may be associated with other chromosomal or sub- chromosomal abnormalities, birth defects and other complications.

Nuchal Translucency is the most prominent marker in screening for Trisomy 13, 18, 21 in the first trimester and should be measured in accordance with the Fetal Medicine Foundation (UK) guidelines. Nuchal Translucency or Crown Rump Length measurement, if not performed as per FMF (UK) imaging guidelines may lead to erroneous risk assessments and Lilac Insights bears no responsibility for errors arising due to sonography measurements not performed as per these criteria defined by international bodies such as FMF (UK), ISUOG.

It is assumed that the details provided along with the sample are correct. The manner in which this information is used to guide patient care is the responsibility of the healthcare provider, including advising for the need for genetic counselling or additional diagnostic testing like amniocentesis or Chorionic Villus Sampling. Any diagnostic test should be interpreted in the context of all available clinical findings. As with any medical test, there is always a chance of failure or error in sample analysis though extensive measures are taken to avoid these errors.

Note:

- Quality of the Down syndrome screening program (Biochemical values, MoMs and Risk assessments) is monitored by UKNEQAS on an ongoing basis.
- This interpretation assumes that patient and specimen details are accurate and correct.
- Lilac Insights does not bear responsibility for ultrasound measurements like CRL,NT,NB etc. We strongly recommend that ultrasound measurements are performed as per FMF (UK)/ISUOG practice guidelines.
- PE risk stratification is done using a cut-off of 1:100 as per ASPRE study.
- It must be clearly understood that the results represent risk and not diagnostic outcomes. Increased risk does not mean that the baby is affected and further tests must be performed before a firm diagnosis can be made. A Low Risk result does not exclude the possibility of Down's syndrome or other abnormalities, as the risk assessment does not detect all affected pregnancies.

END OF REPORT Page 3 of 3

