





To:	Indira IVF Hospital Pvt.Ltd-Guwahati
	3rd Floor, Achyut & Choudury Complex,
	GS road, Lachit Nagar Main Rd, Ulubari
	Assam
	Guwahati - 781007
	Contact:
	Report Of: Mrs. PRANITA MATILAL DAIMARY
	Pt. Contact: 9957870052

SampleID	2200162693
PatientID	10022103748
Received on	28/11/2022 13:52
Registered on	29/11/2022 17:20
Reported on	01/12/2022 14:42
Referred by	DR.CHUDEN SHERPA
Sonography by	DR.R.PHUKAN

# EVICOSCREEN - EVIDENCE BASED COMPREHENSIVE PRENATAL SCREENING REPORT

Patient Name: Mrs. PRANITA MATILAL DAIMARY

Ethnicity: Asian City: GUWAHATI

Patient DOB: <u>19/01/1991</u>

Hospital ID: GHY0000624

Sample Type:DBS

Risk assessment: Algorithm validated by SURUSS 2003, N.J Wald

Method:Time-resolved Fluroimmunoassay

EVIC Screen" is an evidence based prenatal screening program curated by Lilac Insights in accordance with the Fetal Medicine Foundation

(UK) guidelines for First Trimester Screening to determine the probability of most common chromosomal aneuploidies in a pregnancy. It utilizes:

• Hormonal values from the pregnancy measured on Fetal Medicine foundation (UK) accredited analyzers and reagents

• Robust indigenous medians from over 5 lac+ pregnancies for different gestation ages

• Risk calculations from evidence based algorithms validated through large international studies

• External audit of the prenatal screening program by United Kingdom National External Quality Assessment Service (UKNEQAS) scheme and Randox International Quality Assessment Scheme (RIQAS)

RI	SK ASSESSMEI	NT		
T21 (Down syndrome)	1: 100000	Low Risk	LOW	INTERMEDIATE HIGH
T18 (Edwards' syndrome)	1: 100000	Low Risk	LOW	HIGH
T13 (Patau syndrome)	1:100000	Low Risk	LOW	HIGH

# INTERPRETATION

The First Trimester Screening for the given sample is found SCREEN NEGATIVE.

# SUGGESTIONS AND OTHER FINDINGS

In view of low free  $\beta$ hCG, serial growth scans are recommended to assess for fetal growth restriction.



UK NEQAS

Lab Reg. No. 90968

Verified by **Mr. Pradip Kadam** Incharge Biochemistry

Verified by **Dr. Suresh Bhanushali** MD (Path), Consultant Pathologist Page 1 of 3







PREGNANCY DETAILS



## Patient name : Mrs. PRANITA MATILAL DAIMARY

# Sample ID : 2200162693

					T DE TAILS				
No. of fetuse	es	:1		EDD	:06/06/2023	Age at Terr	m :32.4	Years	
GA is Based	SA is Based on : Ass. rep.		LMP Date	:02/09/2022	LMP Certa	LMP Certainty : Regular			
Smoking:None Parity :			Height :		Weight	: 59.00	: 59.00 Kg		
FHR :									
Р	reviou	is pregnancy histo	ory	Pre-ec	lampsia history		Other fin	dings	
<u> </u>	syndron		syndrome	PE in previous pregnancy			Insulin dependent diabetes		
Patau syndrome NTD syndrome				Pat. mother had PE		Chronic hypertension			
Assisted Rep			<b>Date</b> : 16/09		Extraction : 31 yrs		onie nyperte		
				<u> </u>		NamelTubaDa			
EDD: EStimati	eu Due D	ale   GA: Gestation Age	"  LIMP: LAST ME	of Bir	R: Fetal Heart Rate   NTD: ·th	Neural Tube Den	ect   PE: Pre-ec	Tampsia   DOB: D	
				SPECIMEN	DETAILS				
Sample ID		: 2200162693	CRL :	58 mm	Test Name	Conc.	Unit	Corr. Mom	
Collection D	Date	:25/11/2022	CRL2 :		Free-ß-hCG	14.54	ng/mL	0.31	
Scan Date		:25/11/2022	BPD :		NB	Present			
GA at Coll D	Date	: 12 Weeks 3 Days	BPD2 :		NT	1.1	mm	0.73	
GA at Scan [	Date	: 12 Weeks 3 Days	HC :		PAPP-A	2.22	U/L	2.03	
Received on	1	:28/11/2022	HC2 :						
GA: Gestation	n Age   Cl	RL: Crown Rump Length	ı   BPD: Bi-pari	etal Diameter   HC: I	Head Circumference   free	-ß-hCG: free-Beta	Human Chor	ionic Gonadotrop	
		NT: I	Nuchal Translu	cency   PAPP-A: Pre	gnancy-associated Plasma	Protein-A			
				DICI	(5				
				RISK	<b>N</b>				
Disorder: Do	own Syn	drome				sult:	Low Risk		
<b>Disorder: Do</b> Final risk:	<b>own Syn</b> 1:100		Age risk:	1:697		sult:	Low Risk	•	
	-	000	Age risk: Risk type			sult:	Low Risk	•	
Final risk:	1:100 1:250	000	-	1:697	Re	sult: sult:	Low Risk		
Final risk: Cutoff	1:100 1:250	000 Syndrome	-	1:697	Re				
Final risk: Cutoff <b>Disorder: Ed</b>	1:100 1:250 dwards'	000 Syndrome	Risk type	1:697 Risk At Term	Re				
Final risk: Cutoff <b>Disorder: E</b> d Final risk:	1:100 1:250 dwards' 1:100 1:100	000 Syndrome 000	Risk type Age risk:	1:697 Risk At Term 1:6276	Re			•	
Final risk: Cutoff <b>Disorder: Ed</b> Final risk: Cutoff	1:100 1:250 dwards' 1:100 1:100	000 Syndrome 000 drome	Risk type Age risk:	1:697 Risk At Term 1:6276	Re	sult:	Low Risk	•	



**UK NEQAS** Lab Reg. No. 90968





of **3** Page **2** 

Verified by **Mr. Pradip Kadam** Incharge Biochemistry

Verified by **Dr. Suresh Bhanushali** MD (Path), Consultant Pathologist

Lilac Insights Pvt. Ltd. 301-302, Building A-1, Rupa Solitaire Millennium Business Park, MIDC Industrial Area, Sector-1, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra 400710. Phone: +91 22 41841438; Website: www.lilacinsights.com; For queries or complaints, please email: info@lilacinsights.com | CIN - U85191MH2011PTC217513







Sample ID: 2200162693

#### Patient name : Mrs. PRANITA MATILAL DAIMARY

# PRENATAL SCREENING BACKGROUND

Every pregnant woman carries a certain degree of risk that her fetus/baby may have certain chromosomal defect/ abnormalities. Diagnosis of these fetal chromosomal abnormalities requires confirmatory testing through analysis of amniocytes or Chorionic Villous Samples (CVS). However, amniocentesis and CVS procedures carry some degree of risk for miscarriage or other pregnancy complications (Tabor and Alfirevic, 2010). Therefore in routine practice, prenatal screening tests are offered to a pregnant woman to provide her a personalised risk for the most common chromosomal abnormalities (T21-Down syndrome, T18- Edwards' syndrome, T13- Patau syndrome) using her peripheral blood sample. Based on this risk assessment, if the risk is high or intermediate, you can take informed decision of opting for invasive procedure such as amniocentesis or CVS followed by confirmatory diagnostic test(s), as per discussion with your clinician.

#### PRENATAL SCREENING TESTS ARE NOT CONFIRMATORY TESTS. THEY ARE LIKELIHOOD ASSESSMENT TESTS.

You may get your prenatal screening result as either of the following:-

**High Risk** 

**High Risk or Screen Positive Result:** A High Risk Result does not mean that the pregnancy is affected with the condition. It means that the likelihood of the pregnancy having a condition is higher than the cut-off (Most commonly used cut-off is 1:250 and this represents the risk of pregnancy loss from confirmatory testing through CVS or amniocentesis).

Low Risk

Intermediat

Low Risk or Screen Negative Result: A Low Risk result does not mean that the pregnancy is not affected with a condition. It means that the likelihood of the pregnancy having a condition is lower than the cut-off.

**Intermediate Risk result:** An intermediate Risk result means that the pregnancy has an equivocal or a borderline risk of being affected with a condition. In this case, you may want to choose a second stage screening modality like an Integrated Screening Test that is done between 16 to 20 weeks of pregnancy or a Non-invasive Prenatal Screening Test between 12 to 20 weeks of pregnancy before taking a decision on an invasive confirmatory testing. This will help you improve the sensitivity of the screening test keeping an invasive test a last option were you to come as a high risk in the second stage screening test.

## SIGNIFICANCE OF MULTIPLE OF MEDIANS (MoMs)

Prenatal Screening determines the likelihood of the pregnancy being affected with certain conditions by analysing levels of certain hormones. These hormones are Feto placental products (released by Fetus or placenta). Their levels not only indicate propensity of the fetus being affected with certain chromosomal conditions, they also provide indication of placental insufficiency that can potentially lead to pregnancy complications like Pre-Eclampsia or Intra-Uterine Growth Restriction. It is therefore important to take cognisance of the Reported MoMs alongside the Risk results.

## For more information, visit our website at: <u>www.lilacinsights.com/faq-pns</u>

## DISCLAIMERS

#### Limitations of the Test:

As prenatal screening tests are not confirmatory diagnostic tests, the possibility of false positive or false negative results can not be denied. The results issued for this test does not eliminate the possibility that this pregnancy may be associated with other chromosomal or sub- chromosomal abnormalities, birth defects and other complications.

Nuchal Translucency is the most prominent marker in screening for Trisomy 13, 18, 21 in the first trimester and should be measured in accordance with the Fetal Medicine Foundation (UK) guidelines. Nuchal Translucency or Crown Rump Length measurement, if not performed as per FMF (UK) imaging guidelines may lead to erroneous risk assessments and Lilac Insights bears no responsibility for errors arising due to sonography measurements not performed as per these criteria defined by international bodies such as FMF (UK), ISUOG.

It is assumed that the details provided along with the sample are correct. The manner in which this information is used to guide patient care is the responsibility of the healthcare provider, including advising for the need for genetic counselling or additional diagnostic testing like amniocentesis or Chorionic Villus Sampling. Any diagnostic test should be interpreted in the context of all available clinical findings. As with any medical test, there is always a chance of failure or error in sample analysis though extensive measures are taken to avoid these errors.

#### Note:

- Quality of the Down syndrome screening program (Biochemical values, MoMs and Risk assessments) is monitored by UKNEQAS on an ongoing basis.
- This interpretation assumes that patient and specimen details are accurate and correct.
- Lilac Insights does not bear responsibility for ultrasound measurements like CRL,NT,NB etc. We strongly recommend that ultrasound measurements are performed as per FMF (UK)/ISUOG practice guidelines.
- It must be clearly understood that the results represent risk and not diagnostic outcomes. Increased risk does not mean that the baby is affected and further tests must be performed before a firm diagnosis can be made. A Low Risk result does not exclude the possibility of Down's syndrome or other abnormalities, as the risk assessment does not detect all affected pregnancies.

END OF REPORT



Page 3

of 3